IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Robert Holland Koon, #22/826, a/k/a Robert Koon, a/k/a Robert H. Koon,) C/A No. 4:15-cv-335 / DCN	1
Plaintiff,	$ \begin{array}{ccc} ORDER \\ O \end{array} $	
VS.)	
Jena Hoefer Toal, Chief Judicial Officer of SC; and Alan Wilson, Chief Legal Officer of SC, both in their individual capacities,)))	
Defendants.)))	

The above referenced case is before this court upon the magistrate judge's recommendation that the complaint be dismissed with prejudice. It was further recommended that this case been deemed a "strike for purposes of the "three strikes" rule of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

This court is charged with conducting a <u>de novo</u> review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, absent prompt objection by a dissatisfied party, it appears that Congress did not intend for the district court to review the factual and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge. <u>Thomas v Arn</u>, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Additionally, any party who fails to file timely, written objections to the magistrate judge's report pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to raise those objections at the appellate court level. <u>United States v. Schronce</u>, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984), <u>cert. denied</u>, 467 U.S. 1208 (1984). Objections to the magistrate judge's report and

¹In <u>Wright v. Collins</u>, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985), the court held "that a <u>pro se</u> litigant must receive fair notification of the <u>consequences</u> of failure to object to a magistrate judge's report before such a procedural default will result in waiver of the right to appeal. The notice must be 'sufficiently understandable to one in appellant's circumstances fairly to appraise him

4:15-cv-03357-DCN Date Filed 10/26/15 Entry Number 13 Page 2 of 2

recommendation were timely filed on October 13, 2015.

A <u>de novo</u> review of the record indicates that the magistrate judge's report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. Accordingly, the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation is **AFFIRMED**, and the complaint is **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case be deemed as a "strike" for the purposes of the "three strikes" rule of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

David C. Norton

United States District Judge

October 26, 2015 Charleston, South Carolina

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified that any right to appeal this Order is governed by Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure